

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 TYRELL LEWIS,

12 Defendant.

13 CASE NO. 2:24-cr-00164-JNW-8

14 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
15 TYRELL LEWIS'S MOTION FOR
16 RECONSIDERATION

17 On December 19, 2024, the Court heard oral argument on Lewis's motion
18 appealing his detention order, and it denied the motion for reasons stated on the
19 record. Dkt. No. 278. On March 25, 2025, Lewis moved for reconsideration of the
20 Court's order. Dkt. No. 351. The Court ordered the Government to respond. Having
21 reviewed the record, the briefing, and the relevant law, the Court is fully informed
22 and denies the motion for the reasons below.

23 “Motions for reconsideration are disfavored.” CrR12(b)(13)(A). “The court will
24 ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the
25 prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been
26 brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” *Id.*

1 Lewis argues that reconsideration is appropriate based on the discovery of
2 new facts after the Court's December 19 hearing. But on review of the record and
3 the Government's response, the Court finds that these facts were available to Lewis
4 before the December 19 hearing. *See* Dkt. No. 366 at 3–4. And in any event, the
5 facts that Lewis cites support the same arguments that this Court has already
6 heard and rejected.

7 Accordingly, the Court DENIES Lewis's motion for reconsideration.
8 Dkt. No. 351.

9 Dated this 2nd day of May, 2025.

10 
11 Jamal N. Whitehead
United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23